

Object-Oriented Representation

- Knowledge as structured and organized in terms of what the knowledge is about, the objects of knowledge.
- Objects with parts, constraints
- Frame (Minsky 1975)

Frames

- Individual Frames – to represent single objects
- Generic Frames – to represent categories of objects.
- slots, fillers

```
(Frame-name  
  <slot-name1 filler1>  
  <slot-name2 filler2>  
  ....)
```

Individual Frames: Example

```
(tripLeg123
  <:INSTANCE-OF TripLeg>
  <:Destination toronto>..)
```

```
(toronto
  <:INSTANCE-OF CanadianCity>
  <:Province ontario>
  <:Population 4.5M>..)
```

Generic Frames: Example

```
(CanadianCity
  <:IS-A City>
  <:Province CanadianProvince>
  <:County canada>..)
```

```
(Table
  <:Clearance [IF-NEEDED ComputeClearanceFromLeg
  ...])
```

```
(Lecture
  <:DayOfWeek WeekDay>
  <:Date [IF-ADDED ComputeDayofWeek]>
  ..)
```

Inheritance

```
(Table  
  <:Clearance [IF-NEEDED ComputeClearanceFromLeg  
  ...)
```

```
(CoffeeTable  
  <:IS-A Table>...)
```

```
(MahoganyCoffeeTable  
  <:IS-A CoffeeTable>..)
```

Inheritance (defaults)

(Elephant

<:IS-A Mammal>

<:EarSize large>

<:Color gray>...)

(raja

<:INSTANCE-OF Elephant>

<:EarSize small>..)

(RoyalElephant

<:IS-A Elephant>

<:Color white>...)

(clyde

<:INSTANCE-OF RoyalElephant>

..)

Reasoning with Frames

1. a user or external system declares that an object exists, thereby instantiating some generic frame;
2. any slot fillers that are not provided explicitly, but can be inherited, are computed;
3. for each slot with a filler, any **IF-ADDED** procedure that can be inherited is run, possibly causing new slots to be filled, or new frames to be instantiated, and the cycle repeats.

Description Logics

- Objects fall into classes.
- Some classes are more general than others.
- Objects have parts.
- *concepts, roles constants*

A Description Language DL

Logical Symbols

1. *punctuation*: “[”, “]”, “(”, “)”;
2. *positive integers*: 1, 2, 3, etc.
3. *concept-forming operators*:
“**ALL**”, “**EXISTS**”, “**FILLS**”, “**AND**”;
4. *connectives*: “ \sqsubseteq ”, “ \doteq ”, “ \rightarrow ”.

A Description Language DL (cont)

Non-Logical Symbols

1. *atomic concepts*: written in capitalized mixed case, e.g., `Person`, `WhiteWine`, `FatherOfOnlyDaughters`; and a special atomic concept `Thing`.
2. *roles*: written like atomic concepts, but preceded by “:”, e.g., `:Child`, `:Height`, `:Employer`, `:Arm`.
3. *constants*: written in uncapitalized mixed case, e.g. `table13`, `maryAnnJones`.

Syntactic expressions

There are four types of syntactic expressions:

1. *constants*
2. *roles*
3. *concepts*
4. *sentences*

Concepts

The set of concepts of \mathcal{DL} is the least set satisfying:

- every atomic concept is a concept;
- if r is a role and d is a concept, then $[\mathbf{ALL} \ r \ d]$ is a concept;
- if r is a role and n is a positive integer, then $[\mathbf{EXISTS} \ n \ r]$ is a concept;
- if r is a role and c is a constant then, $[\mathbf{FILLS} \ r \ c]$ is a concept;
- if $d_1 \dots d_n$ are concepts, then $[\mathbf{AND} \ d_1 \dots d_n]$ is a concept;

Sentences

- if d_1 and d_2 are concepts, then $[d_1 \sqsubseteq d_2]$ is a sentence;
- if d_1 and d_2 are concepts, then $[d_1 \dot{=} d_2]$ is a sentence;
- if c is a constant and d a concept, then $[c \rightarrow d]$ is a sentence.

Examples: Concepts

[**EXISTS** *n r*]

[**EXISTS** 1 :Child]

[**FILLS** *r c*]

[**EXISTS** :Cousin vinny]

[**ALL** *r d*]

[**ALL** :Employee UnionMember]

Examples (cont)

[ANDWine

[FILLS :Color red]

[EXISTS 2 :GrapeType]

Examples: Sentences

$(d_1 \sqsubseteq d_2)$

(Surgeon \sqsubseteq Doctor)

$(d_1 \doteq d_2)$

$(d_1 \rightarrow d_2)$

(ProgressiveCompany \doteq

[ANDCompany

[EXISTS 7 : Director]

[ALL 7 : Manager[AND Woman

[FILLS : Degree phD]]]

[FILLS: MinSalary \$24.00/hour]]])

Semantics

An interpretation \mathfrak{I} for DL is a pair

$$\langle \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I} \rangle$$

where \mathcal{D} is any set of objects called the *domain* of the interpretation

and

\mathcal{I} is a mapping called the *interpretation mapping* from the non-logical symbols of DL to elements and relations over \mathcal{D} .

Semantics (cont)

where

1. for every constant symbol c , $\mathcal{I}[c] \in \mathcal{D}$;
2. for every atomic concept a , $\mathcal{I}[a] \subseteq \mathcal{D}$;
3. for every role symbol r , $\mathcal{I}[r] \subseteq \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$;

Extending I

- $\mathcal{I}[\text{thing}]$
- $\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{ALL} \ r \ d]$
- $\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{EXISTS} \ n \ r]$
- $\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{FILLS} \ r \ c]$
- $\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{AND}d_1 \dots d_n]$

Truth in an Interpretation

Given an interpretation \mathfrak{I} , we say that α is true in \mathfrak{I} , or $\mathfrak{I} \models \alpha$ according to the following rules.

1. $\mathfrak{I} \models (c \rightarrow d)$ iff $\mathcal{I}[c] \in \mathcal{I}[d]$;
2. $\mathfrak{I} \models (d \sqsubseteq d')$ iff $\mathcal{I}[d] \subseteq \mathcal{I}[d']$;
3. $\mathfrak{I} \models (d \doteq d')$ iff $\mathcal{I}[d] = \mathcal{I}[d']$;

Assuming that d and d' are concepts, and c is a constant.